28

1 2	Scott D. Baker (SBN 84923) Donald P. Rubenstein (SBN 121034) Michele Floyd (SBN 163031) Kirsten J. Daru (SBN 215346)	
3	REED SMITH LLP	
4	Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111-3922	
5	Mailing Address: P.O. Box 7936 San Francisco, CA 94120-7936	
7	Telephone: 415.543.8700 Facsimile: 415.391.8269	
8 9	Attorneys for Defendant Yahoo! Inc.	<u>*E-FILED - 8/8/07*</u>
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	ROBERT ANTHONY, individually and on	No.: C05 04175 RMW
13	behalf of others similarly situated,	[] ORDER RE:
14	Plaintiff,	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND
15	VS.	APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION
16	YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation,	TO CLASS MEMBERS
17	Defendant.	Date: August 3, 2007 Time: 9:00 a.m.
18		Compl. Filed: October 13, 2005 Trial Date:
19		Disc. Cut-Off:
20		Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
21		,
22		
23	Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") came	
24	before the Court on August 3, 2007. Having considered the Motion, the Stipulation and Settlement	
25	Agreement, including the Exhibits attached thereto (collectively, the "Agreement"), and all other	
26	matters submitted concerning the Motion, the Court hereby preliminarily finds as follows:	

entitled Anthony v. Yahoo!, Inc. Case No. C-05-04175, United District Court for the Northern No.: C05 04175 RMW

On October 12, 2005., the initial complaint in this action was filed. The action is

District of California, San Jose Division, Case No, C 05-04175 (the "Action").

- 2. In the Action, Robert Anthony ("Plaintiff") sought to represent a nationwide class challenging certain aspects of Yahoo!, Inc.'s ("Yahoo!"'s) online dating service known as Yahoo! Personals. Specifically, Plaintiff asserted claims for Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing (Count I), Fraud (Count II), Negligent Misrepresentation (Count III) and Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Pursuant to Florida Statute §501.204, *et. seq.* (Count IV). The specific actions challenged are described in more detail in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.
- 3. Yahoo! has denied and continues to deny all claims and allegations of wrongdoing asserted in the Action and has substantial factual and legal defenses to all claims alleged in the Action. Yahoo! has maintained and continues to maintain that it has acted properly and in accordance with governing law and that Plaintiff and the Class Members have not suffered any damage. Nonetheless, Yahoo! has concluded that further conduct of the Action would be protracted and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Action be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms set forth in the Agreement. Neither the Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations connected with it, nor any act performed or documents executed pursuant hereto, shall be construed as an admission or concession by Yahoo! of any violation of law. To the contrary, Yahoo! has denied and continues to deny each and every allegation of liability and wrongdoing.
- 4. After conducting a significant investigation into the facts and law, including reviewing extensive information, interviewing and retaining an independent consultant, interviewing and deposing witnesses and engaging in extensive settlement discussions with Yahoo!, supervised by the Honorable Edward Infante (Ret), the Class Representative and Class Counsel (as defined in the Agreement) have concluded that a settlement according to the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement is adequate and reasonable, and in the best interest of the Class Representative and the Class (as defined in the Agreement).
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter herein and personal jurisdiction over Plaintiff and Yahoo! Pending resolution of the settlement proceedings, this Court hereby asserts jurisdiction over all Class Members as defined in Paragraph 11 of this Order for purposes of

No.: C05 04175 RMW –

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

effecting this settlement and releasing and barring litigation of their claims against Yahoo!

- 6. The proposed settlement embodied in the Agreement appears to have been negotiated at arm's-length and is preliminarily determined to be fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Class for settlement purposes. The proposed settlement was negotiated over the course of multiple mediation sessions.
- 7. The proposed settlement embodied in the Agreement is sufficient to warrant: (a) notice thereof to the members of the Class and (b) a full hearing on the settlement.
- 8. The Class Notice set forth in Paragraph 14 below complies fully with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and is due and sufficient notice to all Class Members entitled to notice of the proposed settlement of the Action.
- 9. Preliminary Approval of Settlement. The settlement as set forth in the Agreement is preliminarily determined to be fair, reasonable and adequate, and is preliminarily approved as in the best interest of the Class. However, the Court's preliminary approval of the settlement is not to be deemed an admission of liability or fault by Yahoo! or by any other Person, or a finding of the validity of any claims asserted in this litigation, or of any wrongdoing or of any violation of law by Yahoo!. The proposed settlement is not a concession and shall not be used as an admission of any fault or omission by Yahoo! or any other Person or entity. Further, the proposed settlement is not a concession and shall not be used as an admission of any a lack of merit in the claims by Plaintiff or any Class Member. Neither the terms of the settlement nor any related document shall be offered or received as evidence in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings which may be necessary to consummate or enforce the terms of the Agreement, except that Yahoo! may file this Order in any action that may be brought against it in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles or res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 10. Stay. It is hereby ordered that all proceedings in the Action shall be stayed, except such actions as may be necessary to implement the Agreement and this Order, pending further

No.: C05 04175 RMW

- 11. <u>Preliminary Certification of the Class</u>. The following class (the "Class" or "Class Members") is conditionally certified for settlement purposes only: all paid subscribers in the United States to Yahoo! Personals (including Yahoo! Personals Premier) between October 1, 2004 and the date of preliminary approval of this Settlement by the Court who do not timely and properly exclude themselves from the terms of the Agreement.
- 12. <u>Designation of Class Counsel</u>. The following law firms are appointed jointly as Class Counsel: (i) Freidin & Dobrinsky, P.A., and (ii) the McNulty Law Firm.
- 13. Final Approval Hearing. A final approval hearing (the "Final Approval Hearing") will be held on November 15, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. before this Court, in the Courtroom of the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte of the United States District Court, located at 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California 95113 to determine: (1) whether the Class shall be certified as a class for settlement purposes; (2) whether the proposed settlement, as set forth in the Agreement on file with the Court, should be approved as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of Class Members; (3) whether a final order and judgment should be entered approving the Agreement, dismissing the Action with prejudice and on the merits; (4) whether Class Members should be bound by the releases set forth in the Agreement; (5) whether Class Members should be subject to a permanent injunction which, among other things, bars Class Members from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating as class members in, any lawsuits in any jurisdiction based on or relating to the claims and causes of action, or the facts and circumstances related thereto, in this Action and/or the Released Claims (as defined in the Agreement); and (6) whether the Court should approve the application of Class Counsel for payment of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses.
- 14. <u>Notice</u>. The Claims Administrator (The Garden City Group, Inc. as defined in the Agreement) is hereby appointed by the Court as the party responsible for administration of this settlement. The Claims Administrator, among other things, shall be responsible for preparing and sending via electronic mail ("e-mail") the Notice of Pendency of Class Action, Proposed Settlement and Proposed Hearing Date for Court Approval (hereinafter "Notice") in the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit C; in the event that the Claims Administrator receives e-mail delivery failure

No.: C05 04175 RMW - 4

notifications after sending the Notice to primary e-mail address of Class Members known to Yahoo!,		
the Claims Administrator is to send a postcard notice to those Class Members via U.S. Mail at the		
mailing address of those Class Members last known to Yahoo!; keeping records of Class Members		
who opt out of the settlement; distributing the Settlement Fund as set forth herein, and such other		
tasks as the parties mutually agree that the Claims Administrator should perform. Any claim or		
demand by Class Members against Yahoo! or the Claims Administrator arising out of or in		
connection with its or their performance of these responsibilities shall be limited to seeking, as the		
sole and exclusive remedy, the specific performance of these responsibilities. On or before thirty		
(30) days after the date of this Order, the Claims Administrator shall cause a copy of the Notice to be		
sent to all Class Members via electronic mail (e-mail), using the most current, primary e-mail		
address in Defendant's records. In the event the Claims Administrator receives an e-mail delivery		
failure notification after sending the Notice to class members' primary e-mail address known to		
Yahoo! for any particular Class Member, the Claims Administrator is to cause a postcard		
notification to be sent to that Class Member or Class Members via U.S. Mail at the mailing address		
of that Class Member or Class Members last known to Yahoo! The date on which the Claims		
Administrator first sends the Notice is referred to as the Notice Date. In the event the procedures in		
this paragraph are followed and the intended recipient of a Notice still does not receive the Notice,		
the intended recipient shall be deemed to be a Settlement Class Member but, absent the submission		
of a timely and valid Claim Form within thirty (30) days of the Court's Final Approval Hearing, shall		
not be eligible to receive monetary compensation. In addition, the Claims Administrator shall		
maintain a web site, which shall provide Class Members with current information regarding the		
status of the approval process and a downloadable copy of the Notice.		

15. Deadline to Submit Valid Claim Forms/Dispute Resolution Process. The deadline for Class Members to submit completed Claim Forms to the Claims Administrator, as explained on the Claim Form attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A, is thirty (30) days after the Court's Final Approval Hearing ("Claim Form Deadline"). The Claim Forms must be postmarked, with all required fields completed, on or before thirty (30) days after the Court's Final Approval Hearing in order to be valid. In the event that the postmark is illegible, the Claim Form shall be deemed

No.: C05 04175 RMW

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

untimely unless it is received within five (5) days of the Claim Form Deadline. Only Authorized Claimants, as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement, are entitled to any payment after submission of a valid and timely Claim Form.

The decision of the Claims Administrator with respect to the acceptance or denial of a claim shall be final and binding unless the Class Member timely and properly invokes the dispute process outlined herein. Settlement Class Members may dispute the denial of his or her claim, but may not dispute the amount of money he or she claims is due to them pursuant to the Settlement, or other aspects of the determination of the amount of money to which the Settlement Class Member may be due, or for any other Settlement Class Member, or for the Class in general.

Notice of any dispute permitted in the preceding paragraph must specify the grounds for the dispute and include any and all supporting documentation and must be mailed to the address provided in the Notice, no later than the Claim Form Deadline. The Claims Administrator shall promptly review the disputed Claim and supporting documentation, if any, and notify the Settlement Class Member and Class Counsel of its determination.

16. Objections and Appearances. Only Class Members may object to the settlement as embodied in the Agreement. Class Members who wish to object to the settlement must file with the Court and serve on counsel for the Parties a written statement objecting to the settlement. Such written statement must be filed with the Court and served on counsel for the Parties by no later than forty-five (45) days after the Notice Date (the "Objection/Exclusion Deadline Date).

No person shall be entitled to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing (whether individually or through separate counsel) unless written notice of the person's intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing shall have been filed with the Court and served on counsel for the Parties on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline Date.

Any objection must contain (a) a heading which refers to the Action; (b) the objector's name, address and telephone number; (c) a statement indicating whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through counsel, and, if through counsel, identifying counsel by name, address, and phone number; (d) a statement of the grounds supporting the claim; (e) a list of all persons who will be called to testify in support of the objection; (f) a list of other

No.: C05 04175 RMW -6-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cases in which the objector or his or her counsel have appeared either as settlement objectors or as counsel for objectors during the preceding five (5) years; (g) copies of any papers, briefs, or other documents upon which the objection is based; and (h) the objector's signature, even if he or she is also represented by counsel. In the objection served upon Yahoo!, the objector must also include his or her Yahoo! ID.

The date of the postmark on the return mailing envelope shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether an objection and/or intention to appear has been timely submitted. In the event that the postmark is illegible, the objection and/or intention to appear shall be deemed untimely unless it is received within five (5) days of the Objection/Exclusion Deadline Date. Class Members who fail to file and serve timely written objections in the manner specified above shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be forever barred from making any objection to the Agreement and the proposed settlement by appearing at the Final Approval Hearing, appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

17. Exclusion from Class. Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Class must submit a written statement requesting exclusion ("Request for Exclusion") from the Class on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline Date. Such Request for Exclusion must be personally executed by the Class Member, contain the full name, address and telephone number of the Class Person requesting exclusion along with the approximate dates and length of his or her paid subscription to Yahoo! Personals, must be returned by registered or certified mail to the Claims Administrator at the address specified in Exhibit C to the Agreement, and must be postmarked on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline Date. The date of the postmark on the return mailing envelope shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether a Request for Exclusion has been timely submitted. In the event that the postmark is illegible, the Request for Exclusion shall be deemed untimely unless it is received within five (5) days of the Objection/Exclusion Deadline Date. Any Class Member who properly opts out will not be bound by the settlement. All Class Members who do not request exclusion in the manner set forth in this Order and the Notice shall be bound by any Final Judgment (as defined in the Agreement) entered pursuant to the Agreement, shall be barred and enjoined, now and in the future, from asserting any and all of the Released Claims against

No.: C05 04175 RMW

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Released Parties (as defined in the Agreement), and any such Class Member shall be conclusively deemed to have released any and all such Released Claims.

- 18. Class Counsel Authorization. Class Counsel are authorized to act on behalf of Class Members in such actions with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given pursuant to, the Agreement or such other acts as are reasonable and necessary to consummate the proposed settlement through these approval proceedings.
- 19. Service of Papers: Yahoo! Counsel (as defined in the Agreement) and Class Counsel shall serve on each other and on all other parties who have filed notices of appearance, at or before the Final Fairness Hearing, any further documents in support of the proposed settlement, including responses to any papers filed by Class Members.
- 20. Termination of Settlement. This Order shall become null and void, and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing immediately as of February 2, 2007 if (i) the proposed settlement as set forth in the Agreement is not finally approved by the Court, or if the Final Judgment (as defined in the Agreement) does not become final pursuant to the terms of the Agreement; or (ii) the proposed settlement as set forth in the Agreement is terminated in accordance with the Agreement or does not become effective as required by the terms of the Agreement for any other reason. In such event, and except as provided therein, the proposed settlement and Agreement shall become null and void and be of no further force and effect, and neither the Agreement nor the Court's orders, including this Order, shall be used or referred to for any purpose whatsoever.
- 21. Use of Order. If the settlement as set forth in the Agreement becomes final, then Yahoo! may file this Order in any action that may be brought against it in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles or res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim. This Order shall be of no force and effect if the proposed settlement as set forth in the Agreement does not become final and shall not be construed as a finding, determination or declaration of (i) any fault, wrongdoing or breach of duty on the part of Yahoo!, (ii) a lack of merit in the claims by Plaintiff or any Class Member, (iii) the propriety or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

impropriety of any relief requested in the complaints filed in the Action, (iv) a waiver or other relinquishment by any party of any claim or defense, or (v) the certifiability or non-certifiability of the class alleged in the operative complaints filed in the Action.

- 22. Weekends and Holidays. If any deadline set forth in this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday or federal holiday, then such deadline shall extend to the next business day.
- 23. Continuance of Hearing and Approval With Modifications. The Court reserves the right to continue the Final Approval Hearing without further written notice to the Class. The Court also reserves the right to approve the Settlement Agreement with such modifications as may be agreed to by counsel for the Parties without requiring further notice to Class Members.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: __8/7/07

Honorable Ronald M. Whyte Judge of the United States District Court

onald M. Whyte